IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT

CHANDIGARH.

C.W.P. No. 14647 of 2004

Date of Decision: November 28, 2006

Chander Dutt

…..Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

…..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. KUMAR.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI.

Present:- Mr. K.S. Malik, Advocate for
Mr. A.S. Virk, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Palli, Advocate

-.-

M.M. KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

Mr. Arun Palli, learned counsel for the respondent- Punjab Wakf Board, has stated that the order dated July 6, 2004 (P-2) which is subject matter of challenge has already out-lived its life as respondent No.3 was re-designated as Estate officer only for a period of six months. He has also placed on record a copy of the proceedings of the Punjab Wakf Board from page No.55, showing that the Board has shown its inability to extend

the period of six months of respondent No.3 by designating him as Estate officer and the aforementioned designation was withdrawn on January 8, 2005. The aforementioned proceedings are taken on record as mark 'A'. It appears to us that after the impugned order was passed in favour of respondent No.3 by giving him designation of Estate Officer for a limited period of six months which has expired, the instant petition has been rendered infructuous. Both the petitioners as well as respondent No.3 have, in the meantime retired also.

Therefore, the writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(M.M.KUMAR)
JUDGE

November 28, 2006
sanjay

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE